

Publication rules and peer review process

1. Peer review process is strictly anonymous, as from the side of authors submitting text, as from the peer reviewers.
2. Each article submitted to the parts “Studies and Essays” and “Materials” is peer reviewed by two anonymous reviewers asked by Editorial Board. Every reviewer must not be in conflict of interest with the authors of the submitted article (e. g. not working on the same institute etc.)
3. A review statement should to recapitulate in short the main content and thesis of the submitted text and should to evaluate its scientific value, a level of stylistics, a quality of content and the overall contribution. A peer reviews should also mention and comment the major weaknesses, mistakes and uncertainties and he/she should also formulate the recommendation concerning the improvement of submitted text. There should be also explicitly expressed the statement for the Editorial Board, if a submitted text should be: a) accepted for publication without comments or with minor recommendations; b) returned to the author for doing a major improvements; c) denied as non-acceptable.
4. The peer reviewers have to send their review statements via e-mail to the Editor-in-Chief (drapala@usd.cas.cz)
5. The review statements are sent to the authors with comments and recommendations of Editorial Board. The authors are informed about the results of peer review process immediately after its terminus.
6. The peer review statements are honored depending on the length of text and the formal quality of peer review statement. In case of the final publishing of peer reviewed text in Soudobé dějiny/Czech Journal Contemporary History, the peer reviewers are entitled to one copy of journal issue (which can be send them by post-mail or they can pick them up in the Institute venue).
7. In case of explicit non-full-fulfilling of the elementary requirements on scientific article, which would be totally of out scope, or profile of Soudobé dějiny/Czech Journal Contemporary History, the Editorial Board and the Scientific Board reserve the right to return the submitted text to the author, with the explicit explanations of the rejection.